

INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS CASE DOSSIER: TRANSNATIONAL REPRESSION, DIGITAL/PSYCHOLOGICAL TORTURE, AND SYSTEMIC OBSTRUCTION OF JUSTICE

Applicant: Buppha Wittaya-Amponpant

Current Physical Location: Røde Kors Herberg for Kvinder, Kettegård Allé 14A, 2650
Hvidovre, Denmark

Target States: The United Kingdom (Originating Jurisdiction) & The Kingdom of Denmark
(Executing/Host Jurisdiction)

Primary Convention Violations: Articles 3 (Prohibition of Torture), 5 (Right to Liberty and Security), 6 (Right to a Fair Trial), 8 (Right to Respect for Private and Family Life), 13 (Right to an Effective Remedy), and 34 (Obstruction of the Right of Individual Application) of the ECHR.

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This dossier documents a severe, continuous campaign of state-sponsored transnational repression, commercial sexual exploitation, and highly calculated psychological torture orchestrated by actors within the United Kingdom and actively executed by operatives in Denmark.

Following a history of severe surveillance beginning in the UK in 2013, the applicant fled to Denmark in July 2026. Rather than finding asylum, the applicant has been subjected to a coordinated digital and physical siege. This includes the illegal installation of hidden cameras in private and shared residential quarters, live-streaming of non-consensual recordings to commercial adult websites, realtime phone/physical tracking to isolate the applicant from legal counsel, physical assault, and systemic administrative gridlocks engineered by both states to cause domestic legal deadlines to expire.

II. FACTUAL TIMELINE & TRANSNATIONAL DEVELOPMENTS

- **2013 – July 2026 (United Kingdom):** The applicant was subjected to an invasive, state-sponsored campaign of illegal, intrusive 24/7 surveillance / voyeurism / sex trafficking via hidden cameras and audio recording devices, hacking, device mirroring, and severe psychological harassment while residing in the UK. Domestic human rights legal claims filed by the applicant were struck out twice by court masters in an abuse of process designed to shield state actors from accountability.

- **July 2026 (Flight to Denmark):** To escape this escalating abuse, the applicant relocated to Denmark. In an act of cross-border collusion, UK authorities actively communicated with and instructed Danish counterparts to assume control of the harassment and monitoring apparatus.
 - **August 12, 2026 (Physical Retaliation & Head Injury):** Utilizing compromised personal devices, the applicant drafted an emergency Rule 39 application to the European Court of Human Rights (ECHR) detailing the corruption of UK state actors. The exact moment the applicant stepped outside after drafting the document, they were violently assaulted and struck in the head. A formal Danish police investigation was initiated (Copenhagen Police Journalnr: **0100-73251-00338-26**).
 - **September 2026 (ECHR Registry Procedural Paradox):** The applicant submitted a Rule 39 interim measures request naming both states. The ECHR Registry rejected the claim against Denmark as "out of scope" because the Copenhagen District Court had retroactively appointed a victim support lawyer (*bistandsadvokat*, Martin Cumberland) on September 21, 2026, meaning domestic tracks were technically open on paper. The complex claims against the UK were left entirely unaddressed and unexamined by the registry clerk.
-

III. THE ILLEGAL ENTERPRISE & EXPLOITATION INSIDE THE RED CROSS SHELTER

Following the ECHR Registry's administrative rejection, coordinated state actors and local operatives treated the decision as a **"green light" to intensify the torture, sexual exploitation, and surveillance with absolute impunity**. The operations have directly penetrated the Danish Red Cross Shelter for Women (*Røde Kors Herberg for Kvinder*) in Hvidovre, Denmark:

1. **Voyeuristic Commercial Enterprise:** Operatives have unlawfully installed hidden audio and video recording equipment inside the applicant's private room and the shared bathroom/shower facilities at the Danish Red Cross Hvidovre shelter. These non-consensual recordings are live-streamed and distributed to various adult websites for profit, constituting an active human trafficking, corruption, and money laundering operation that victimizes not only the applicant but other unsuspecting tenants and staff using the shared facilities.
2. **Malicious Misuse of CCTV & Article 5 Violations:** The corrupt state actors actively misuse the facility's official CCTV infrastructure to maintain a 24/7 hyper-monitoring and physical retaliation program. Operatives use real-time CCTV feeds to track the applicant's movements inside the shelter. As soon as the applicant leaves their room (e.g., to walk to the kitchen), staff or tenants—who appear to receive financial inducements, free clothes, or bribes—are instructed via communication loops to abruptly walk out of their rooms to ambush, startle, and psychologically harass the applicant. This severe harassment is intentionally increased every time the applicant attempts to assert their legal rights, violating **Article 5 ECHR (Right to Safety and Security)**.

3. **Targeted Media Injection & Psychiatric Torture:** To maximize psychological terror, the perpetrators take the sexual abuse to a highly sophisticated level. They monitor the applicant's digital footprints and inject targeted advertisements, film recommendations, and pop-ups across various platforms that explicitly allude to, mimic, or mock the specific private sexual acts they have unlawfully recorded her with hidden cameras and audio recording devices for years without her knowledge or consent. This forced-exposure loop is engineered to cause severe, potentially irreversible psychiatric injury and shatter the applicant's mental autonomy.
-

IV. SYSTEMIC OBSTRUCTION OF REMEDIES & ARTICLE 34 RETALIATION

To maintain this hostile environment, the states have actively destroyed the applicant's access to an effective remedy (**Article 13**) and a fair trial (**Article 6**), resulting in a severe violation of **Article 34 ECHR**:

- **Destruction of Legal Professional Privilege (LPP):** The applicant's devices and networks are heavily monitored. Furthermore, the state tracks the applicant's physical movements and phone location in real-time. When the applicant attempts to visit independent law firms or legal clinics in person to bypass digital surveillance, operatives contact those law firms beforehand to intimidate, manipulate, and influence them into rejecting the case or downplaying the abuse. The applicant is thus completely barred from acquiring impartial legal representation.
- **Domestic Judicial Blockade (UK):** Immediately following the applicant's application for Rule 39 interim measures, UK state actors retaliated by instructing court registry staff to completely ignore the applicant's emails. This was a deliberate, bad-faith tactic to ensure the applicant's statutory deadline to challenge the domestic strike-outs would run out of time (procedurally halted by written confirmation from clerk Beverley Henningham on September 28, 2026, routing the active file to Master Dagnall for October 1, 2026).
- **Exposure of Danish Red Cross Failure:** The applicant has formally reported the hidden cameras, staff bribery, and coordinated harassment directly to local shelter management, the Danish Red Cross head office, and the official **Danish Red Cross Whistleblower Scheme** (which explicitly states on its portal that it covers *illegal acts, criminal offences, sexual violations, and breaches of the Code of Conduct*). Despite these explicit notices, the Red Cross has completely ignored the reports, turned a blind eye, and allowed the physical and digital harassment of a vulnerable resident to actively escalate on its premises, failing its positive obligations under international humanitarian law.
- **Inactivation of Local Counsel:** The court-appointed *bistandsadvokat*, Martin Cumberland, has remained completely silent and failed to request camera sweeps or emergency relocation, forcing the applicant to formally launch a **"Frit Valg" (Free Choice of Counsel)** application with the Copenhagen District Court and Copenhagen Police to revoke his appointment.

V. LEGAL REQUESTS FOR IMMEDIATE NGO & UN INTERVENTION

Because domestic authorities and renowned humanitarian institutions have been entirely compromised or manipulated into maintaining a wall of institutional silence, the applicant urgently requests that international legal organizations step in to:

1. **Assume Formal Legal Representation:** Act as the secure, designated legal counsel to safely bridge the gap between the UK and Danish jurisdictions, shielding the applicant's communication under uncompromised Legal Professional Privilege (LPP).
2. **File a Joint Article 34 Application:** Urgently lodge a comprehensive main lawsuit before the ECHR establishing the joint liability of the United Kingdom (for initiating, directing, and executing transnational judicial and physical retaliation) and Denmark (for executing physical surveillance, assault, and failing its positive obligations to protect a victim on its soil).
3. **Lodge a Fresh Rule 39 Request:** Present the August 12 physical head injury, the ongoing commercial live-streaming, and the active frustration of the *bistandsadvokat* track as fresh, concrete evidence of an imminent risk of irreparable harm to life and psychological integrity, forcing the ECHR to bypass administrative filters.
4. **Engage UN Special Procedures:** Coordinate directly with the **UN Special Rapporteur on Torture** and the **UN Special Rapporteur on the Right to Privacy** to launch an independent international audit into the cross-border abuse of cyber-surveillance and the complicity of the Danish Red Cross facility.

Dossier Compiled and Filed by: Buppha Wittaya-Amponpant

Date of Record: 6 October 2026

Status: Urgent / Active Physical Danger / Access to Counsel Blocked